arXiv:1406.6017v1 [stat.ME] 23 Jun 2014

Electronic Journal of Statistics
Vol. 0 (2014)
ISSN: 1935-7524

Uniform-in-bandwidth consistency for nonparametric estimation of divergence measures

Hamza Dhaker[†,‡], **Papa Ngom**[†,‡], **Pierre Mendy**[‡] **and El Hadji Deme**[§]

LMA[†], *Université Cheikh Anta Diop, BP 5005 Dakar-Fann, Sénégal*
LMDAN[‡], *Université Cheikh Anta Diop, BP 5005 Dakar-Fann, Sénégal*
LERSTAD[§], *UFR SAT Université Gaston Berger, BP 234 Saint-Louis, Sénégal*
e-mail: hamzayahya@hotmail.fr *e-mail:* papa.ngom@ucad.edu.sn
e-mail: fmendy_99@yahoo.fr *e-mail:* elhadjidemeufrsat@gmail.com

Abstract: We propose nonparametric estimation of divergence measures between continuous distributions. Our approach is based on a plug-in kernel-type estimators of density functions. We give the uniform in bandwidth consistency for the proposal estimators. As a consequence, their asymptotic 100% confidence intervals are also provided.

MSC 2010 subject classifications: Primary 62F12, 62G07; secondary 62E20.
Keywords and phrases: divergence of mesures, kernel estimation, uniform in bandwidth, consistency.

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Divergence Measures and Estimation 2
3 Main Results 5
4 Concluding remarks and future works 8
5 Proofs of main results 9
Acknowledgements 15
References 15

1. Introduction

Given samples from two distributions, one fundamental and classical question to ask is: how close are the two distributions? First, one must specify what it means for two distributions to be close, for which many different measures quantifying the degree of these distributions have been studied in the past. They are frequently called distance measures, although some of them are not strictly metrics. The divergence measures play an important role in statistical theory, especially in large theories of estimation and testing. They have been applied to different areas, such as medical image registration ([25]), classification and retrieval. In machine learning, it is often convenient to view training data as a set

of distributions and use divergence measuires to estimate dissimilarity between examples. This idea has been used in neuroscience, where the neural response pattern of an individual is modeled as a distribution, and divergence meaures is used to compare responses across subjects (see, e.g [21]). Later many papers have appeared in the literature, where divergence or entropy type measures of information have been used in testing statistical hypotheses. For more examples and other possible applications of divergence measures, see the extended technical report ([27, 28]). For these applications and others, it is crucial to accurately estimate divergences.
The class of divergence measures is large; it includes the Rényi-α ([29, 30]), Tsallis-α ([34]), Kullback-Leibler (KL), Hellinger, Bhattacharyya, Euclidean divergences, etc. These divergence measures can be related to the Csiszár-f divergence ([5]). The Kullback-Leibler, Hellinger and Bhattacharyya are special cases of Rényi-α and Tsallis-α divergences. But the Kullback Leibler one is the most popiular of these divergence measures.

In the nonparametric setting, a number of authors have proposed various estimators which are provably consistent. Krishnamurthy and Kandasamy [22] used an initial plug-in estimator by estimates of the higher order terms in the von Mises expansion of the divergence functional. In their frameworks, they proposed tree estimators for Rényi-α, Tsallis-α, and Euclidean divergences between two continuous distributions and establised the rates of convergence of these estimators.

The main purpose of this paper is to analyze estimators for divergence measures between two continuous distributions. Our approach is similar on those of Krishnamurthy and Kandasamy [22] and is based on plug-in estimation scheme: first, apply a consistent density estimator for the underlying densities, and then plug them into the desired formulas. Unlike of their frameworks, we study the uniform bandwidth consistent estimators of these divergences. We introduce a method to establish consistency of kernel-type estimators divergences between two continuous distributions when the bandwidthh is allowed to range in a small interval which may decrease in length with the sample size. Our results will be immediately applicable to proving uniform bandwidth consistency for nomparametric estimation of divergenge measures.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce divergence measures and we construct their nonparametric estimators. In Section 3, we study the unfiform bandwidth consistency of the proposal estimators. Section 4 is devoted on the proofs.

2. Divergence Measures and Estimation

Let us begin by standardizing notation and presenting some basic definitions. We will be concerned with two densities, f , $g : \mathrm{R}^d \mapsto [0, 1]$ where $d \geq 1$ denotes the dimension. The divergence measures of interest are Rényi-α, Tsallis-α, Kullback-Leibler, Hellinger, Bhattacharyya are defined respectivelly as follows

$$D_\alpha^R(f,g) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\log\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} f^\alpha(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx, \quad \alpha\in \mathrm{R}\setminus\{1\} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} f^\alpha(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx - 1\right), \quad \alpha\in \mathrm{R}\setminus\{1\} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{KL}(f,g) = \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} f(x)\log\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}dx, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{H}(f,g) = 1-\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} f^{1/2}(x)g^{1/2}(x)dx, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{B}(f,g) = -\log\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} f^{1/2}(x)g^{1/2}(x)dx, \tag{2.5}$$

whenever the integrals in the underlying expressions are meaningful. These quantities are nonnegative, and they are zero iff $f=g$ almost surely $(a.s)$. These expressions can be used to measure the distance between two distributions. Remark that, the divergences $\mathcal{D}^H(f,g)$ and $\mathcal{D}^B(f,g)$ are respectively special cases of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)$. We easily check that

$$\lim_{\alpha\longrightarrow 1}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g) = \mathcal{D}^{KL}(f,g).$$

For the following, we focus only on the estimation of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)$. The Kullback-Leibler, Hellinger and Bhattacharyya can be deducing immediately. We will next provide consistent estimator for the following quantity

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g) = \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} f^\alpha(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx, \tag{2.6}$$

whenever this integral is meaningful. Plugging it estimates into the appropriate formula immediately leads to consistent estimator for the divergence measures $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)$, $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)$.

Now, assuming that for all the rest of the paper, the density g satisfies : $\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx$ is finite, this implies that $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)$ is finite. Next, consider $X_1,...,X_n$, $n\geq 1$ a sequence of independent and identically distributed R^d-valued random vectors, with cumulative distribution function F a density function $f(\cdot)$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on R^d. We start by giving some notation and conditions that are needed for the forthcoming sections. To construct our divergence estimators we define, in a first step, a kernel density estimator for $f(\cdot)$, and then substituting $f(\cdot)$ by its estimator in the divergence like functional of $f(\cdot)$. Towards this aim, we introduce a measurable function $K(\cdot)$ fulfilling the following conditions.

(**K.1**) $K(\cdot)$ is of bounded variation on R^d

(**K.2**) $K(\cdot)$ is right continuous on R^d

(**K.3**) $||K||_\infty = \sup_{x\in\mathrm{R}^d} \mid K(x)\mid < \infty$

(**K.4**) $\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} K(t)dt = 1$.

The well known Akaike-Parzen-Rosenblatt (refer to [1, 23] and [31]) kernel estimator of $f(\cdot)$ is defined, for any $x \in \mathrm{R}^d$, by

$$\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n^d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

where $0 < h_n \leq 1$ is the smoothing parameter. For notational convenience, we have chosen the same bandwidth sequence for each margin. Assuming that the density f is contiuous, one obtains a strongly consistent estimator $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}$ of f, that is, one has with probability 1, $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) \longrightarrow f(x)$, $x \in \mathrm{R}^d$. There are also results concerning uniform convergence and convergence rates. For proving such results one usually writes the difference $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) - f(x)$ as the sum of a probabilistic term $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) - \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)$ and a deterministic term $\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) - f(x)$, the so-called bias. On can refer to [14, 17, 19] , among other authors.

In a second step, given $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(\cdot)$, we estimate $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\widehat{\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}}, g) \quad = \quad \int_{A_{n,h_n}} \widehat{f}^{\alpha}_{n,h_n}(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx, \quad \alpha \neq 1 \tag{2.8}$$

where $A_{n,h_n} = \{x \in \mathrm{R}^d, \quad \widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) \geq \gamma_n\}$ and $\gamma_n \downarrow 0$ is a sequence of positive constant. Thus, using 2.8, the associated divergences $\mathcal{D}^R_\alpha(f,g)$ and $\mathcal{D}^T_\alpha(f,g)$ can be estimated by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^T_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) - 1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The appraoch use to define the plug-in estimators is also devellopped in [3] in order to introduce a kernel-type estimators of Shannon's entropy. The uniform bandwidth of these divergences is related on those of the kernel estimator $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(\cdot)$.

The limiting behavior of $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(\cdot)$, for appropriate choices of the bandwidth h_n, has been studied by a large number statisticians over many decades. For good sources of references to research literature in this area along with statistical applications consult [10, 11, 2] and [26]. In particular, under our assumptions, the condition that $h_n \downarrow 0$ together with $nh_n \uparrow \infty$ is necessary and sufficient for the convergence in probability of $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)$ towards the limit $f(x)$, independently of $x \in \mathrm{R}^d$ and the density $f(\cdot)$. Various uniform consistency results involving the estimator $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)$ have been established. We refer to [6, 14, 9] and the references therein. In the next section, we will use their methods to establish convergence results for the estimates $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)$ and deduce the convergence results of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^T_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)$.

3. Main Results

We first study the strong consistency of the estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)$ defined in (2.8). We shall consider another, but more appropriate and more computationally convenient, centering factor than the expectation $\mathrm{E}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)$ which is delicate to handle. This is given by

$$\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) := \int_{A_{n,h_n}} \left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)\right)^\alpha g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $K(\cdot)$ satisfy (**K.1-2-3-4**) and let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous bounded density . Then, for each pair of sequence $(h_n^{'})_{n\geq 1}$, $(h_n^{''})_{n\geq 1}$ such that $0 < h_n^{'} < h_n \leq h_n^{''}$, together with $h_n^{''} \longrightarrow 0$, $nh_n'/\log(n) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, one has with probability 1*

$$\sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g) - \widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g)\right| = O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h_n') \vee \log\log n}{nh_n'}\right)^{\alpha/2}\right).$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is postponed until Section 5.

Lemma 2. *Let $K(\cdot)$ satisfy (**3-4**) and let $f(\cdot)$ be a uniformly Lipschitz and continuous density. Then, for each pair of sequence $(h_n^{'})_{n\geq 1}$, $(h_n^{''})_{n\geq 1}$ such that $0 < h_n^{'} < h_n \leq h_n^{''}$, together with $h_n^{''} \longrightarrow 0$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$\sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''} \left|\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g) - \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f, g)\right| = O\left(\gamma_n^\alpha \vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right).$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is postponed until Section 5.

Theorem 1. *Let $K(\cdot)$ satisfy (**K.1-2-3-4**) and let $f(\cdot)$ be a uniformly Lipschitz, bounded and continuous density. Then, for each pair of sequence $(h_n^{'})_{n\geq 1}$, $(h_n^{''})_{n\geq 1}$ such that $0 < h_n^{'} < h_n \leq h_n^{''}$, together with $h_n^{''} \longrightarrow 0$, $nh_n'/\log(n) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, one has with probability 1*

$$\sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g) - \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f, g)\right| = O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h_n') \vee \log\log n}{nh_n'}\right)^{\alpha/2} \vee \gamma_n^\alpha \vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right).$$

This, in turn, implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g) - \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f, g)\right| = 0 \qquad a.s. \tag{3.1}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is postponed until Section 5.
The following corollaries handle respectively the uniform deviation of the estimate $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h}, g)$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f, g)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f, g)$.

Corollary 1. *Assuming that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Then, we have*

$$\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^T_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}^T_\alpha(f,g)\right|=O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n)\vee\log\log n}{nh'_n}\right)^{\alpha/2}\vee\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right).$$

This, in turn, implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^T_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}^T_\alpha(f,g)\right|=0\qquad a.s.\tag{3.2}$$

The proof of Corollary 1 is postponed until Section 5.

Corollary 2. *Assuming that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Then, we have*

$$\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}^R_\alpha(f,g)\right|=O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n)\vee\log\log n}{nh'_n}\right)^{\alpha/2}\vee\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right)$$

This, in turn, implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}^R_\alpha(f,g)\right|=0\qquad a.s.\tag{3.3}$$

The proof of Corollary 2 is postponed until Section 5.
Note that, the main problem in using the divergence estimates such as (2.8) is to choose properly the smoothing parameter h_n. The result given in (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) show that any choice of h between h'_n and h''_n ensures the consistency of the underlying divergenge estimates. In other word, the fluctuation of the bandwidth in a small interval do not affect the consistency of the nonparametric estimator of these divergences.

Now, we shall establish another result in a similar direction for a class of compactly supported densities. We need the following additional conditions.

F.1 $f(\cdot)$ has a compact support say I and is is s-time continuously differentiable, and there exists a constant $0<M<\infty$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathrm{I}}\left|\frac{\partial^s f(x)}{\partial x_1^{j_1}...\partial x_d^{j_d}}\right|\le M,\quad j_1+\cdots+j_d=s.$$

(**K.5**) $K(\cdot)$ is of order s, i.e., for some constant $\varrho\neq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}u_1^{j_1}...u_d^{j_d}K(u)du=0,\quad j_1,...,j_d\ge 0,\quad j_1+\cdots+j_d=1,...,s-1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}|u_1^{j_1}...u_d^{j_d}|K(u)du=\varrho,\quad j_1,...,j_d\ge 0,\quad j_1+\cdots+j_d=s.$$

Under (**F.1**) the expression $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)$ may be written as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)=\int_{\mathrm{I}} f^\alpha(x)g^{1-\alpha}dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 2. *Assuming conditions (**K.1-2-3-4-5**) hold. Let $f(\cdot)$ fulfill (**F.1**). Then for each pair of sequences $0<h_n^{'}<h_n\leq h_n^{''}$ with $h_n^{''}\longrightarrow 0$, $nh_n'/\log n\longrightarrow\infty$ as $n\longrightarrow\infty$, for any $\alpha\in(0,1)$,, we have*

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''}\frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right|}{\sqrt{(\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n)^\alpha}}\leq\zeta(\mathrm{I})\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx\quad a.s\ ,$$

where

$$\zeta(\mathrm{I})=\sup_{x\in\mathrm{I}}\left\{f(x)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}K^2(u)du\right\}^{\alpha/2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is postponed until Section 5.

Corollary 3. *Assuming that the assumptions of the Theorem 2 hold. Then,*

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''}\frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)\right|}{\sqrt{(\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n)^\alpha}}\leq\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\zeta(\mathrm{I})\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx\quad a.s\ ,$$

Corollary 4. *Assuming that the assumptions of the Theorem 2 hold. Then, for any $\gamma>0$ we have*

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\sup_{h_n'\leq h\leq h_n''}\frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)\right|}{\sqrt{(\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n)^\alpha}}\leq\frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\gamma^\alpha}\zeta(\mathrm{I})\quad a.s\ ,$$

The proof of Corollaries 3 and 4 are given in Section 5.

Using the techniques developed in [9] , the Corollaries (3) and (4) lead to the construction of asymptotic 100% certainty intervals for the true divergences $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)$, $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)$. Now, assume that there exists a sequence $\{\mathrm{I}_n\}_{n\geq1}$ of strictly nondecreasing compact subsets of I, such that $\mathrm{I}=\cup_{n\geq1}\mathrm{I}_n$. For the estimation of the support I we may refer to ([12]) and the references therein. Throughout, we let $h\in[h_n',h_n'']$, where h_n' and h_n'' are as in Corollaries (3) and (4). Chose an estimator of $\zeta(\mathrm{I})$ in the Corollaries (3) and (4) as the form

$$\zeta_n(\mathrm{I}_n)=\sup_{x\in\mathrm{I}_n}\left\{\widehat{f}_{n,h}(x)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}K^2(u)du\right\}^{\alpha/2}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{P}\left(|\zeta_n(\mathrm{I}_n)/\zeta(\mathrm{I})-1|\geq\varepsilon\right)\to0,\quad\text{as}\quad n\to\infty\quad\text{for each}\quad\varepsilon>0.$$

Consequently, by defining the quantities

$$\mathrm{B}_n^T = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\zeta_n(\mathrm{I}_n)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}dx \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n}{nh}\right)^{\alpha}}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathrm{B}_n^R = \frac{1}{\gamma^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)}\zeta_n(\mathrm{I}_n) \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n}{nh}\right)^{\alpha}}$$

we get from Corollaries (3) and (4),

$$\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{1}{B_n^T}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)\right|>1+\varepsilon\right)\longrightarrow 0, \quad n\longrightarrow\infty.$$

and

$$\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{1}{B_n^R}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)\right|>1+\varepsilon\right)\longrightarrow 0, \quad n\longrightarrow\infty.$$

Thus, we obtain asymptotic certainty interval for $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)$ in the following sense.
For each $0<\varepsilon<1$, we have, as $n\to\infty$,

$$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)\in\left[\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\frac{1}{B_n^T}(1+\varepsilon),\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)+\frac{1}{B_n^T}(1+\varepsilon)\right]\right)\longrightarrow 1.$$

and

$$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)\in\left[\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\frac{1}{B_n^R}(1+\varepsilon),\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)+\frac{1}{B_n^R}(1+\varepsilon)\right]\right)\longrightarrow 1.$$

Finally, we will say that the intervals

$$\left[\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\frac{1}{B_n^T},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^T(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)+\frac{1}{B_n^T}\right],$$

and

$$\left[\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\frac{1}{B_n^R},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^R(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)+\frac{1}{B_n^R}\right],$$

provide asymptotic 100% certainty intervals for the divergences $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^T(f,g)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^R(f,g)$.

4. Concluding remarks and future works

We have addressed the problem of nonparametric estimation of a class of divergence measures. We are focusing on the Rényi-α and the Tsallis-α divergence measures. Under our study, one can easily deduced Kullback-Leibler, Hellinger and Bhattacharyya nonparmetric estimators. The results presented in this work are general, since the required conditions are fulfilled by a large class of densities. We mention that the estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)$ in (2.8) can be calculated by

using a Monte-Carlo method under the density g. And a pratical choice of γ_n is $\beta(\log n)^\delta$ where $\beta > 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$.
It will be interesting to enrich our results presented here by an additional uniformity in term of γ_n in the supremum appearing in all our theorems, which requires non trivial mathematics, this would go well beyond the scope of the present paper. Another direction of research is to obtain results, in the case where the continuous distributions f and g are both unknown.

5. Proofs of main results

Proof of Lemma 1. To prove the strong consistency of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)$, we use the following expression

$$\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) := \int_{A_{n,h_n}} \left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)\right)^\alpha g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx,$$

where $A_{n,h_n} = \{x \in \mathrm{R}^d, \quad \widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) \geq \gamma_n\}$ and $\gamma_n \downarrow 0$ is a sequence of positive constant. Define

$$\Delta_{n,1,h_n} := \underbrace{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) - \widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{n,1,h_n}| &= \left| \int_{A_{n,h_n}} \left(\widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h_n}(x) - \left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)\right)^\alpha \right) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \right| \qquad (5.1) \\ &\leq \int_{A_{n,h_n}} \left| \widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h_n}(x) - \left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)\right)^\alpha \right| g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x\in\mathrm{R}^d} \left| \widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h_n}(x) - \left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)\right)^\alpha \right| \int_{A_{n,h_n}} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(x) = x$ is a 1-Lipschitz function, for $0 < \alpha < 1$ then $\mid (h(x))^\alpha - (h(y))^\alpha \mid \leq \mid h(x) - h(y) \mid^\alpha$.
Therefore for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$\left| \widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h_n}(x) - \left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x)\right)^\alpha \right| \leq \left| \widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) - \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) \right|^\alpha \leq \left|\left| \widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} \right|\right|^\alpha_\infty,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes, as usual, the supremum norm, i.e., $\|\varphi\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in\mathrm{R}} |\varphi(x)|$.
Hence,

$$|\Delta_{n,1,h_n}| \leq \left|\left| \widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} \right|\right|^\alpha_\infty \int_{A_{n,h_n}} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx. \qquad (5.2)$$

Finaly,

$$|\Delta_{n,1,h_n}| \leq \left|\left| \widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} \right|\right|^\alpha_\infty \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx. \qquad (5.3)$$

We now impose some slightly more general assumptions on the kernel $K(\cdot)$ than that of Theorem 1. Consider the class of functions

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ K\left((x-\cdot)/h\right) : h > 0,\ x \in \mathrm{R}^d \right\}.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, set $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}) = \sup_Q N(\kappa\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}, d_Q)$, where the supremum is taken over all probability measures Q on $(\mathrm{R}^d, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{B}$ represents the σ-field of Borel sets of R^d. Here, d_Q denotes the $L_2(Q)$-metric and $N(\kappa\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}, d_Q)$ is the minimal number of balls $\{\psi : d_Q(\psi, \psi') < \varepsilon\}$ of d_Q-raduis ε needed to cover $\mathcal{K}$.
We assume that $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the following uniform entropy condition.

(**K.6**) for some $C > 0$ and $\nu > 0$, $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}) \leq C\varepsilon^{-\nu}, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

(**K.7**) $\mathcal{K}$ is a pointwise measurable class, that is there exists a countable sub-class $\mathcal{K}_0$ of $\mathcal{K}$ such

that we can find for any function $\psi \in \mathcal{K}$ a sequence of functions $\{\psi_m : m \geq 1\}$ in $\mathcal{K}_0$ for which

$$\psi_m(z) \longrightarrow \psi(z), \quad z \in \mathrm{R}^d.$$

This condition is discussed in [33] . It is satisfied whenever K is right continuous.

*Remark that condition (**K.6**) is satisfied whenever (**K.1**) holds, i.e., $K(\cdot)$ is of bounded variation on R^d (in the sense of Hardy and Kauser, see, e.g. [4, 35] and [20]. Condition (**K.7**) is satisfied whenever (**K.2**) holds, i.e., $K(\cdot)$ is right continuous (refer to [9, 15]and the references therein).*

From Theorem 1 in [15], whenever $K(\cdot)$ is measurable and satisfies (**K.3-4-6-7**), and when $f(\cdot)$ is bounded, we have for each pair of sequence $(h'_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(h''_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that $0 < h'_n < h \leq h''_n \leq 1$, together with $h''_n \to 0$ and $nh'_n/\log(n) \to \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, with probability 1

$$\sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} \left|\left| \widehat{f}_{n,h} - \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h} \right|\right|_\infty = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/h'_n) \vee \log\log n}{nh'_n}} \right). \tag{5.4}$$

Since $\int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx < \infty$, in view of (5.3) and (5.4), we obtain with probability 1

$$\sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} |\Delta_{n,1,h}| = O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n) \vee \log\log n}{nh'_n} \right)^{\alpha/2} \right). \tag{5.5}$$

It concludes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 2.

Let A^c_{n,h_n} be the complement of A_{n,h_n} in R^d (*i.e*, $A^c_{n,h_n} = \{x \in \mathrm{R}^d,\ \widehat{f}_{n,h_n} < \gamma_n\}$). We have

$$\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}, g) - \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f, g) \quad = \quad \Delta_{n,2,h_n} + \Delta_{n,3,h_n},$$

with

$$\Delta_{n,2,h_n} := \int_{A_{n,h_n}} \left(\left(\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) \right)^\alpha - f^\alpha(x) \right) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx$$

and

$$\Delta_{n,3,h_n} := \int_{A^c_{n,h_n}} f^\alpha(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx.$$

Term $\Delta_{n,2,h_n}$. Repeat the arguments above in the terms $\Delta_{n,1,h_n}$ with the formal change of $\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}$ by f. We show that, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$|\Delta_{n,2,h_n}| \leq \left|\left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - f\right|\right|^\alpha_\infty \int_{\mathrm{A}_{n,h_n}} g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx, \tag{5.6}$$

which implies

$$|\Delta_{n,2,h_n}| \leq \left|\left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - f\right|\right|^\alpha_\infty \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx. \tag{5.7}$$

On the other hand, we know (see, e.g,[15]), that since the density $f(\cdot)$ is uniformly Lipschitz and continuous, we have for each sequences $h'_n < h < h''_n < 1$, with $h''_n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} \left|\left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - f\right|\right|_\infty = O(h''^{1/d}_n). \tag{5.8}$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} |\Delta_{n,2,h}| = O(h''^{\alpha/d}_n). \tag{5.9}$$

Term $\Delta_{n,3,h_n}$. It is obsious to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{n,3,h_n}| &= \int_{A^c_{n,h_n}} |f^\alpha(x)| g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx \qquad (5.10)\\ &\leq \int_{\mathrm{A}^{\ c}_{n,h_n}} \left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) - f^\alpha(x)\right| g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx + \int_{\mathrm{A}^{\ c}_{n,h_n}} \mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx \\ &\leq \left|\left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - f\right|\right|^\alpha_\infty \int_{\mathrm{A}^{\ c}_{n,h_n}} g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx + \gamma^\alpha_n \int_{\mathrm{A}^c_{n,h_n}} g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\Delta_{n,3,h_n}| \leq \left(\left|\left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - f\right|\right|^\alpha_\infty + \gamma^\alpha_n\right) \int_{\mathrm{A}^{\ c}_{n,h_n}} g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx. \tag{5.11}$$

Hence,

$$|\Delta_{n,3,h_n}| \leq \left(\left|\left|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n} - f\right|\right|^\alpha_\infty + \gamma^\alpha_n\right) \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx. \tag{5.12}$$

Thus, in view of (5.8), we get

$$\sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} |\Delta_{n,3,h_n}| = O\left(\gamma^\alpha_n \vee h''^{\alpha/d}_n\right) \tag{5.13}$$

Finaly, in view of (5.9) and (5.13), we get

$$\sup_{h'_n\leq h\leq h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right|=O\left(\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right). \tag{5.14}$$

It concludes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}^R_\alpha(f,g)\right| \leq \left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)\right|+\left|\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right|.$$

Combinating the Lemmas (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\sup_{h'_n\leq h\leq h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right| = O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n)\vee\log\log n}{nh'_n}\right)^{\alpha/2}\right)+O\left(\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right).$$

It concludes the proof of the Theorem.

Proof of Corollary 1. Remark that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^T_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}^T_\alpha(f,g) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right).$$

Using the Theorem (1), we have

$$\sup_{h'_n\leq h\leq h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^T_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}^T_\alpha(f,g)\right| = O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n)\vee\log\log n}{nh'_n}\right)^{\alpha/2}\vee\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right),$$

and the Corollary 1 holds

Proof of Corollary 2. A first order taylor expansion of $y\mapsto\log y$ arround $y=y_0>0$ and $y=\widehat{y}>0$ gives

$$\log\widehat{y}=\log y_0+\frac{1}{y_0}(\widehat{y}-y_0)+o(||\widehat{y}-y_0||).$$

Remark that from Theorem 1,

$$\sup_{h'_n\leq h\leq h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right| = O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n)\vee\log\log n}{nh'_n}\right)^{\alpha/2}\vee\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right),$$

which turn, implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h'_n\leq h\leq h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right|=0 \qquad a.s.$$

Thus, for all

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}^R_\alpha(f,g) &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(\log\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\log\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right)\\ &\quad +o\left(\left|\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h},g)-\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f,g)\right|\right|\right).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^R_\alpha(\widehat{f}_{n,h_n},g)-\mathcal{D}^R_\alpha(f,g)\right|=O\left(\left(\frac{\log(1/h'_n)\vee\log\log n}{nh'_n}\right)^{\alpha/2}\vee\gamma_n^\alpha\vee h_n''^{\alpha/d}\right),$$

and the Corollary 2 holds.

Proof of Theorem 2. Under conditions (**F.1**), (**K.5**) and using Taylor expansion of order s we get, for $x\in \mathrm{I}$,

$$|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h_n}-f(x)|=\frac{h^{s/d}}{s!}\left|\int\sum_{k_1+\ldots+k_d}t_1^{k_1}\ldots t_d^{k_d}\frac{\partial^s f(x-h\theta t)}{\partial x_1^{k_1}\ldots\partial x_1^{k_d}}K(t)dt\right|$$

where $\theta=(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_d)$ and $0<\theta_i<1,\quad i=1,\ldots;d$ Thus a straightforward application of Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives, for n large enough,

$$\sup_{x\in \mathrm{I}}|\mathrm{E}\widehat{f}_{n,h}(x)-f(x)|=O(h_n^{''})$$

Let J be a nonempty compact subset of the interior of I (say $\mathring{I}$)).
First, note that we have from Corollary 3.1.2. p. 62 of Viallon (2006) (see also, [3] , statement (4.16)).

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h'_n}\sup_{x\in \mathrm{J}}\frac{\sqrt{nh}|\widehat{f}_{n,h}(x)-f(x)|}{\sqrt{\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n}}=\sup_{x\in \mathrm{J}}\left(f(x)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}K^2(t)dt\right)^{1/2}\tag{5.15}$$

Set, for all $n\ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_n(\mathrm{J}) &= \left|\int_{\mathrm{J}}\left(\widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h}(x)-f^\alpha(x)\right)g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx\right|\\
&\le \int_{\mathrm{J}}|\widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h}(x)-f^\alpha(x)\,|g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx)\\
&\le \int_{\mathrm{J}}|\widehat{f}_{n,h}(x)-f(x)|^\alpha g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx\qquad\text{since}\quad\alpha\in]0,1[,\\
&\le \sup_{x\in \mathrm{J}}|\widehat{f}_n(x)-f(x)|^\alpha\int_{\mathrm{J}}g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx,\qquad(5.16)\\
&\le \sup_{x\in \mathrm{J}}|\widehat{f}_n(x)-f(x)|^\alpha\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx.\qquad(5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

One fined, by combining (5.15) and (5.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\pi_n(\mathrm{J})}{\sqrt{(\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n)^\alpha}}\\
&\qquad\le\sup_{x\in \mathrm{J}}\left\{\left(f(x)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}K^2(t)dt\right)^{\alpha/2}\right\}\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

Let $\{\mathrm{J}_\ell\}$, $\ell = 1, 2, ...$, be a sequence of nondecreasing nonempty compact subsets of $\mathring{I}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} \mathrm{J}_\ell = \mathring{I}$$

Now, from (5.18), it is straightforward to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{\ell \to \infty} \quad & \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} \frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\pi_n(\mathrm{J}_\ell)}{\sqrt{(\log(1/h) \vee \log\log n)^\alpha}} \\
& \leq \limsup_{\ell \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathrm{J}_\ell} \left\{ f(x) \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} K^2(t)dt \}^{\alpha/2} \right\} \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \\
& \leq \sup_{x \in \mathrm{I}} \left\{ \left(f(x) \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} K^2(t)dt \right)^{\alpha/2} \right\} \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Proof of Corollary 3. A direct application of the Theorem 2 leeds to the Corollary 3.

Proof of Corollary 4. Here again, set, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\eta_n(\mathrm{J}) = \left| \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(\log \int_{\mathrm{J}} \widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h}(x) g^{1-\alpha} - \log \int_{\mathrm{J}} f^\alpha(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \right) \right|.$$

A first order Taylor expansion of $\log(y)$ leeds to

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_n(\mathrm{J}) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\int_{\mathrm{J}} f^\alpha(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx} \left| \int_{\mathrm{J}} \left(\widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h}(x) - f^\alpha(x) \right) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \right| + o\left(||\widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h} - f||^\alpha_\infty \right), \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\int_{\mathrm{J}} f^\alpha(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx} \pi_n(\mathrm{J}) + o\left(||\widehat{f}^\alpha_{n,h} - f||^\alpha_\infty \right),
\end{aligned}$$

Using condition $(F.1)$, $f(\cdot)$ is compactly supported), $f(\cdot)$ is bounded away from zero on its support, thus, we have for n enough large, there exists $\gamma > 0$, such that $f(x) > \gamma$, for all x in the support of $f(\cdot)$. From (5.16), we have

$$\pi_n(\mathrm{J}) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathrm{J}} |\widehat{f}_{n,h}(x) - f(x)|^\alpha \int_{\mathrm{J}} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_n(\mathrm{J}) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma^\alpha} \frac{1}{\int_{\mathrm{J}} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx} \sup_{x \in \mathrm{J}} |\widehat{f}_n(x) - f(x)|^\alpha \int_{\mathrm{J^d}} g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma^\alpha} \sup_{x \in \mathrm{J}} |\widehat{f}_n(x) - f(x)|^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

One fined, by combining the last equation with (5.15)

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{h'_n \leq h \leq h''_n} \frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\eta_n(\mathrm{J})}{\sqrt{(\log(\frac{1}{h}) \vee \log\log n)^\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma^\alpha} \sup_{x \in \mathrm{J}} \left\{ \left(f(x) \int_{\mathrm{R}^d} K^2(t)dt \right)^{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$\limsup_{ell\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h'_n\le h\le h''_n}\frac{\sqrt{(nh)^\alpha}\eta_n(\mathrm{J}_\ell)}{\sqrt{(\log(1/h)\vee\log\log n)^\alpha}} \tag{5.19}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\frac{1}{\gamma^\alpha}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathrm{J}_\ell}\left\{f(x)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}K^2(t)dt\}^{\alpha/2}\right\} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\frac{1}{\gamma^\alpha}\sup_{x\in\mathrm{I}}\left\{\left(f(x)\int_{\mathrm{R}^d}K^2(t)dt\right)^{\alpha/2}\right\}$$

The proof of Corollary is completed.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Akaike, H. (1954). An approximation to the density function.*Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., Tokyo,* 6, 127-132.MR0067412

[2] Bosq, D. and Lecoutre, J. P. (1987). Théorie de l'estimation fonctionnelle. *Économie et Statistiques Avancées. Economica, Paris.*

[3] Bouzebda, S. and Elhattab, I.(2011) Uniform-in-bandwidth consistency for kernel-type estimators of Shannon's entropy. *Electronic Journal of Statistics.* 5, 440-459. MR2802051

[4] Clarkson, J. A. and Adams, C. R. (1933). On definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35 (4), 824-854.MR1501718

[5] Csiszár, I. (1967). Information-type measures of differences of probability distributions and indirect observations. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica,* 2: 299-318.MR0191733

[6] Deheuvels, P. (2000). Uniform limit laws for kernel density estimators on possibly unbounded intervals. *In Recent advances in reliability theory* (Bordeaux, 2000), Stat. Ind. Technol., pages 477-492. Birkha Boston,MR1783500

[7] Deheuvels, P. and Einmahl, J. (1996). On the strong limiting behavior of local functionals of empirical processes based upon censored data. *Ann. Prob.* 24, 504-525. MR98f:62088

[8] Deheuvels, P. and Einmahl, J. (2000). Functional limit laws for the increments of Kaplan-Meier product-limit processes and applications. *Ann. Prob.*28 (7), 1301-1335. MR1797314

[9] Deheuvels, P. and Mason, D. M. (2004). General asymptotic confidence bands based on kernel-type function estimators. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.*, 7(3), 225-277.MR2111291

[10] Deroye, L. and Gyorfi, L. (1985). Nonparametric density estimation. *Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics*: Tracts on Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York. The L1 view.MR0780746

[11] Devroye, L. and Lugosi, G. (2001). Combinatorial methods in density estimation. *Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York.* MR1843146

[12] Devroye, L. and Wise, G. L. (1980). Detection of abnormal behavior via nonparametric estimation of the support. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 38(3),480-488.MR0579432

[13] Diehl, S. and Stute, W. (1988). Kernel density and hazard function estimation in the presence of censoring. *J. Mult. Analy.*. 25, 299-310. MR940545

[14] Einmahl, U. and Mason, D. M. (2000). An empirical process approach to the uniform consistency of kernel-type function estimators. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 13 (1), 1-37. MR1744994

[15] Einmahl, U. and Mason, D. M. (2005). Uniform in bandwidth consistency of kernel-type function estimators. *Ann. Statist.*, 33(3), 1380-1403.MR2195639

[16] Foldes A.and Rejto L., (1981). Strong Uniform Consistency for Nonparametric Survival Curve Estimators from Randomly Censored Data. *Annals of Statistics.* Volume 9, 122-129. MR82i:62060

[17] Giné, E.and Guillou, A. (2002). Rates of strong uniform consistency for multivariate kernel density estimators. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* 38 907-921. MR1955344

[18] Giné, E. and Mason, D. M. (2008) Uniform in bandwidth estimation of integral functionals of the density function. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 35(4), 739-761 MR2468873

[19] Giné, E. and Zinn, J. (1984). Some limit theorems for empirical processes (with discussion).*Ann. Probab.* 12 929-998.MR757767

[20] Hobson, E. W. (1958). The theory of functions of a real variable and the theory of Fourier's series. Vol. I. *Dover Publications Inc.*, New York, N.Y. MR92828

[21] Johnson , D. H., Gruner, B., C. M. K., and Seshagiri.(2001) Information-theoretic analysis of neural coding. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience.*

[22] Krishnamurthy A., Kandasamy K. (2014). Nonparametric Estimation of Rényi Divergence and Friends *http://www.arxiv.org/1402.2966v2.*

[23] Parzen, E. (1962). On estimation of a probability density function and mode.*Ann. Math. Statist.*, 33, 1065-1076.MR0143282

[24] Pardo, L.(2005) Statistical inference based on divergence measures. *CRC Press.*

[25] Pluim B M, Safran M. From breakpoint to advantage. *description, treatment, and prevention of all tennis injuries.* Vista: USRSA, 2004

[26] Prakasa Rao, B. L. S. (1983). Nonparametric functional estimation. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics. Academic Press Inc.* [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York.MR0740865

[27] Póczos, B. and Schneider, J. On the estimation of alpha-divergences. *CMU, Auton Lab Technical Report,* `http://www.cs.cmu.edu/ bapoczos/articles/poczos11alphaTR.pdf.`

[28] Póczos, B. Xiong L., Sutherland D, J., and Schneider J. (2012).

Nonparametric kernel estimators for image classification. *In IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition,*

[29] Rényi, A. (1961). On measures of entropy and information. *In Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability.* MR132570

[30] Rényi, A. (1970). Probability Theory. *Publishing Company, Amsterdam.*

[31] Rosenblatt, M. (1956). Remarks on some nonparametric estimates of a density function. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 27, 832-837.MR0079873

[32] Tanner, M. A. and Wong, W.H. (1983). The estimation of the hazard function from randomly censored data by the kernel method. *Ann. Statist.* 11, 989-993.

[33] Van der Vaart, A. W. and Wellner, J. A. (1996). Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes: *With Applications to Statistics. Springer, New York.*MR1385671

[34] Villmann, T. and Haase, S. (2010). Mathematical aspects of divergence based vector quantization using Frechet-derivatives. *University of Applied SciencesMittweida.*

[35] Vituškin, A. G. (1955). O mnogomernyh variaciyah. Gosudarstv. *Izdat. Tehn.Teor. Lit., Moscow.*MR0075267

[36] Watson, G.S. and eadbetter, M.R. (1964a). Hazard Analysis I. *Biometrika,* Vol. 51, 1 and 2, pp. 175-184.

[37] Watson, G.S. and Leadbetter,M.R. (1964b). *Hazard Analysis II. Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A,* Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 101-116.